

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,170	04/16/2004	Li-Tu Lin Wu	TA7042942001 8268	
23639 7	590 02/03/2006		EXAMINER	
BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP			DICUS, TAMRA	
THREE EMBA	ARCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-4067		1774	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			()				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/825,170	LIN WU, LI-TU				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Tamra L. Dicus	1774				
 Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a)□ Tł	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) <u></u> Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
cle	osed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	ı of Claims						
4)⊠ CI	laim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application	on.	•				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)□ CI	laim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) <u></u> Cl	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application	Papers						
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Ap	oplicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Re	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🗌 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u></u> Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	. ,					
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori 	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attacks == 41.							
Attachment(s)	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) D Notice of	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/825,170 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the color patterns being "soft". The specification provides no guidance on what this term means. "soft" is relative and thus the claim is ambiguous.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,668,751 to Henke.
- 4. Henke teaches a tool coating with colored patterns comprising:

Art Unit: 1774

a tool coating foundation having a surface (32, FIG. 2 and associated text, molded soft plastic); and at least one colored dye pattern layer being soft and pliable attached to the surface of the tool via a color adhesive strip (col. 4, lines 1-15, instant claim 1 is met).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,668,751 to Henke in view of USPN 6,726,868 to Panfili et al. as evidenced by USPN 5,516,143 to Lang et al.
- 6. Henke essentially teaches the claimed invention.
- 7. Henke does not teach a transparent film applied to the colored pattern.
- 8. Panfili teaches a tool comprising: a handle (7, Fig. 5 and associated text) having a first color pattern thereon (1, Fig. 5 and associated text); and a protective cover (3, Fig. 5 and associated text) of molten resin injection molded polypropylene or Santoprene® thermoplastic elastomer, (col. 4, lines 25-38, col. 5, lines 14-16) completely exhibiting a portion of the first color pattern enclosed therein. Panfili teaches the cover is of Santoprene® but does not refer to it as transparent. Lang is used to show evidence of molded Santoprene® that is a transparent plastic (col. 2, lines 57-63 of Lang).

Application/Control Number: 10/825,170 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

9. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the tool of Henke to include a transparent film applied to the colored patterns of Henke because Panfili teaches a tool having colored patterns having a protective cover of Santoprene® thermoplastic elastomer (col. 4, lines 25-38, col. 5, lines 14-16 of Panfili) and Lang is used to show evidence of molded Santoprene being transparent (col. 2, lines 57-63 of Lang). The combination would act to protect the color pattern from being damaged.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Tamra L. Dicus

Examiner

Art Unit 1774

December 2, 2005

SPE BOX 1/27/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1774 1/30/04